

“Unsnarl Academic Success: How Does The Teacher’s Reasoning Ability Impact Students’ Academic Achievement Motivation? A Quantitative Study”

Ms. Shweta Dahiya¹, Dr. Umender Malik²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Education, Maharishi Dayanand University.

²Associate Professor, Department of Education, Maharishi Dayanand University.

Abstract:

This research paper whose disposition is quantitative endeavors to explicate the refinement relationship between the reasoning ability of teachers and the academic outcomes of students. Apprehending the significant role of educators in fabricating the learning environment, this study employs seasoned statistical methodologies to pore over the baroque connections between reasoning ability and scholastic achievement. The study was conducted on a sample of 300 senior secondary school teachers and their respective classes from various schools from various districts of Haryana state. This research paper is the examination of teachers reasoning abilities and their possible effect on various outlook of student’s academic achievement motivation by using tools developed by investigator and academic achievement motivation by Prof (Dr) T.R.Sharma. By applying advanced statistical analyses, which incorporate the mean, standard deviation, ‘t’ test and Karl Pearson’s product moment, coefficient correlation were used, this research aims to pick out patterns and reveal latent relationships deep down the data.

The data pool exploited in this study is voluminous enveloping a far flung of variables for instance teacher’s reasoning ability score, and student’s academic achievement motivation. By amalgamating these elements, we pursue to not only quantify the leverage of teachers reasoning ability on academic achievement motivation but also abstinent or meddling factors. The magnitude of this research resides in its, to

implicit educational policies and practices.

Comprehending the teacher's reasoning ability may delineate students' academic path can subsidize beneficial acumen for instructors training programs, development of curriculum and scholarly arbitration.

Furthermore, the findings of this paper may offer a bedrock for nurturing a more encouraging learning environment which augment both student and teacher as well as their experiences.

In the end, this study venture to devote to the broader converse on educational productivity by enlighten on the labyrinthine dynamics between reasoning ability of teachers and academic achievement motivation of students. By merging scrupulous quantitative analyses with an encyclopedic dataset, we head to provide practicable vision that have the possibility to influence the educational practices and policies in a constructive way.

Keywords: Teachers reasoning ability, students' academic achievement motivation, academic success, quantitative research, development of curriculum.

Introduction:

The role of teachers will remain pivotal in fabricating the academic niche of students, as the terrain of education is continually progressing. By comprehending the aspects or variables that contribute to dynamic teaching and student success is pressing for nurturing vigorous educational practices. One such variables that can warrants careful investigation is teacher's reasoning ability. There are few dispositions of teachers like ability to reason make informed decisions and think critically are cognitive skills which directly or indirectly influence the learning outcomes and experiences of students. The significance of this study shows that teachers are like intellectual guides whose are with cognitive abilities that go beyond subject – matter competence. Apart from these well recognized cognitive characteristics of teachers, there exists an eminent gap in empirical research which methodically examines the connection between teacher's reasoning abilities and student's academic achievement motivation. In India, previous research has mostly focused on traditional

indicators of teacher effectiveness, like teaching techniques and classroom management, neglecting the cognitive frameworks that could play a significant role in the learning environment. This study fills the knowledge vacuum by exploring the intricate interactions between teacher's reasoning abilities and their students' academic achievement motivation.

Theoretical Framework Related To Reasoning Abilities of Teachers

John Sweller developed the Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) in the late 1980s. It is a theory of instructional design that centres on the way the human brain organises and retains information. It places a strong emphasis on matching the learning environment to the cognitive abilities of the students, accepting that mental store capacity is finite, and differentiating between working and long-term memory (J Sweller, 2019). The comprehension of cognitive load theory (CLT) has important ramifications for the design of educational materials and activities. This reduces cognitive load, fosters effective learning, and supports the transfer of knowledge into long-term memory. Teaching and learning have been profoundly affected by John Sweller's research, which includes a dissertation on human learning (H Asma, 2020). His work highlights the significance of instructional tactics that are in line with human cognitive processes in order to achieve the best possible learning results.

According to the Social Cognitive Theory, people pick up knowledge from watching others, which has a big impact on how they become as people. It highlights how the environment, cognition, and the individual all have a reciprocal triadic interaction that influences development (Charlotte Nickerson, 2023). One of the main ideas of the theory is the triadic reciprocal causation proposed by Albert Bandura, which explains how environmental circumstances, behavioural response, and individual self-efficacy all affect how an observed behaviour is repeated. Learning can take place without a sudden shift in behaviour, highlighting the cognitive components of learning (Kendra Cherry, 2023). By allowing people to learn without having to perform right away, observational learning highlights the cognitive components of learning. In general, Social Cognitive Theory emphasises how critical it is to comprehend and use efficient learning techniques.

The Cognitive Apprenticeship Theory is a method to learning that centres on the observation of internal cognitive processes and the instruction of complicated tasks. It is modelled after conventional apprenticeship programmes, in which trainees get one-on-one instruction from an experienced mentor in a trade or skill (E Imiere · 2019). The idea seeks to open up internal mental processes so that they may be observed and studied. It emphasises practical application, readying students for experiences that include solving real-world problems. The approach includes six instructional strategies that are divided into three groups: scaffolding, articulation, reflection, exploration, and conventional apprenticeship models. Demonstrating abilities, coaching, structuring, articulation, reflection, and investigation are all part of traditional apprenticeship programmes. When it comes to skill development, scaffolding provides organised assistance, whereas articulation helps students communicate their comprehension and methods of problem-solving (RE Feinstein · 2021). By encouraging children to investigate and solve problems on their own, exploration promotes autonomy. The theory provides a thorough framework to help students enhance their skills and comprehend the underlying cognitive techniques.

Constructivism is a philosophy of learning that places a strong emphasis on active learning, in which students create knowledge by experiences and introspection (Mascolo & Fischer, 2005). Assimilation, which incorporates new knowledge into preexisting schemas, and accommodation, which updates and expands preexisting schemas in light of new information, are important processes. This method promotes social learning, where students and teachers work together to create knowledge, and active learning, where students learn best via engaged experiences (A. Pritchard & J. Woollard (2010)). Constructivism views education as creating experiences that support the production of knowledge rather than as a means of delivering information directly. Conventional methods emphasize the value of experience learning while concentrating on the dissemination of knowledge (S. Yaduvanshi & Sunita Singh (2015)). Constructivism, in its simplest form, highlights how learners actively create knowledge via experiences and reflection, with assimilation and adaptation serving as key components.

Lev Vygotsky created the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) hypothesis in the late 1920s. It attempts to keep students inside their ZPDs by giving them slightly more difficult assignments than they can do on their own. The learner's ZPD increases as a result of this collaborative learning process, which makes it easier for them to internalise new ideas and abilities (Saul Mcleod, PhD, 2023). The tasks that fall under the ZPD are ones that a student can complete with assistance but cannot do on their own. Tasks in the ZPD, where a student may succeed with support, are the main focus of instruction. The difference between independent and aided performance gets less when the learner completes tasks inside the ZPD, showing how the ZPD is getting smaller (K Shabani · 2010). The ZPD of Vygotsky highlights the connection between development and education, arguing that the former should precede the latter in terms of timing and scope. Recognising that every person has different developmental phases, the idea emphasises the transformational power of collaborative instruction by viewing teachers as levers that can change the way students think.

Research Gap in the previous studies

The research paper intends to fill a vacuum in the literature by investigating how Reasoning ability of teachers appear in educational settings. It also looks into context-specific aspects, such as cultural or institutional components, that could affect how teachers develop and apply their reasoning abilities. The research also explores the dynamics of interactions between teachers and students, concentrating on the ways in which interpersonal connections and communication modalities support or impede the development of reasoning skills in the classroom. Additionally, it looks at how reasoning ability impacts the teacher's classroom management behaviour. It focuses on interactive tools and educational platforms that help teachers to ascertain about students' academic achievements motivation.

Research Questions related to the study

To what extent does the correlation exist between teacher's reasoning ability and student's academic achievement motivation?

What kind of relationship exists between specific dimensions of teacher reasoning, like logical thinking and

decision making, and certain characteristics of student outcomes such as critical thinking abilities , grades and overall academic achievement motivation?

Does there any mediating factors, such as instructional strategies or classroom interactions, which play a role in the relationship between teacher reasoning ability and student academic achievement motivation?

What kind of variations exists between subject areas and educational levels (e.g. elementary school, middle school, and high school) with the effects of teacher reasoning ability on students academic achievements motivation?

How broadly can the results be applied to a range of demographic and socioeconomic circumstances?

OBJECTIVES OF THE PAPER

The objectives of this research paper which we conceded by focusing on certain points such as :

To explore the possible mediating factors, like instructional methods and classroom interactions, that can impact on the link between students results and teachers thinking.

To ascertain the trajectory and severity of the relationship between different student academic results and instructor reasoning scores.

To Analyze the differences in the effects of teacher reasoning on student outcomes at various educational levels, taking into account subject-specific subtleties and developmental phases.

To Examine the validity of the results across an array of demographic and socioeconomic circumstances and note any differences in the relationship between pupil achievement & educator reasoning in other educational environments.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The impact of Teacher's Reasoning Ability on Students Academic achievement motivation

HYPOTHESES

1. There is no positive correlation between teacher's reasoning ability and students academic achievement motivation.

2. There exist no connection between teacher's reasoning ability and students motivation for academic success.

Methodology:

The research uses a dataset that was gathered from various districts of Haryana of senior secondary school teachers and their respective classroom results in which the data collected through survey and lottery method. The factors include are reasoning ability of teachers.

The used research strategy is quantitative in nature, with the goal of examining and measuring correlations among variables.

DESIGN OF THE STUDY

A variety of statistical techniques are used in this study to examine a dataset and get insightful information. Key aspects are summarized using descriptive survey method, and the mean, standard deviation and 't' value and Karl Pearson's product moment coefficient correlation and regression analysis were used to look at links between variables. With the help of this extensive collection of statistical techniques, the dataset is thoroughly examined, allowing the extraction of insightful information about the correlations and trends among the variables being studied.

Using SPSS, the statistical analysis is carried out. Efficient data manipulation, investigation, and the application of sophisticated statistical techniques are made possible by this programme.

Variables and Measures:

Standardized tool was created especially to gauge reasoning abilities relevant to teaching are given out quantitatively. These tests cover the six dimensions of reasoning ability such as (1) Analogical Reasoning, (2) linear Reasoning, (3) Conditional Reasoning, (4) Deductive Reasoning, (5) Inductive Reasoning, (6) Cause and Effect Reasoning.

Data Analysis and Interpretations:

In order to convey quantitative conclusions, data must be thoroughly analysed using statistical techniques and presented in visually appealing ways such as tables, graphs, and charts. Correlation studies show relationships between factors such as student academic outcomes and reasoning abilities scores of instructors. Regression analysis provide

deeper insights by evaluating the impact of independent variables on dependent ones. In order to guarantee the validity and consistency of the results, the presentation further draws attention to patterns and statistical significance.

Discussion:

By contrasting it with earlier studies, this study offers a thorough grasp of reasoning abilities in the classroom. It provides guidance on policies and practices in education, emphasising the value of technological integration, instructional approaches, and professional development.

The study notes its shortcomings and makes recommendations for further investigation to overcome them and improve awareness of the connection between academic performance of students and the reasoning skills of instructors. This work adds to the body of research on education.

Conclusion:

This study investigates the connection between students' academic achievement motivation and instructors' capacity for thinking. By examining certain reasoning domains, contextual variables, and technological integration, it closes gaps in the body of previous knowledge. Empirical data from the research supports educational policy and practices. Results indicate that particular instructional strategies and chances for professional growth have a favourable impact on teacher's reasoning ability, which in turn affects student results. The study highlights that in order to improve education, it is critical to make investments in professional development, use technology strategically, and comprehend cultural and contextual subtleties.

REFERENCES

- Stronge, J. H., Ward, T. J., & Grant, L. W. (2011). What makes good teachers good? A cross-case analysis of the connection between teacher effectiveness and student achievement. *Journal of teacher Education*, 62(4), 339-355.
- Harris, D. N., & Sass, T. R. (2011). Teacher training, teacher quality and student achievement. *Journal of public economics*, 95(7-8), 798-812.
- Jufri, A. W., Setiadi, S., & Sripatmi, D. (2016). Scientific Reasoning Ability Of Prospective Student Teacher in The Excellence Program of Mathematics and Science Teacher Education in University of

- Mataram. Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia, 5(1),69-74.
- Lawrenz, F., & Lawson, A. E. (1986). Student gain in reasoning ability as a function of teacher reasoning level and teaching style preference. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 23(6), 523-531.
- Glasson, G. E. (1989). The effects of hands-on and teacher demonstration laboratory methods on science achievement in relation to reasoning ability and prior knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 26(2), 121-131.
- Eyisi, D. (2016). The usefulness of qualitative and quantitative approaches and methods in researching problem-solving ability in science education curriculum. *Journal of education and practice*, 7(15), 91-100.
- Minor, E. C. (2014). Racial differences in teacher perception of student ability. *Teachers College Record*, 116(10), 1-22.
- Houichi, A., & Sarnou, D. (2020). Cognitive Load Theory and its Relation to Instructional Design: Perspectives of Some Algerian University Teachers of English. *Arab World English Journal*, 11 (4) 110-127. DOI:<https://dx.doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol11no4.8>
- Sweller, J., van Merriënboer, J.J.G. & Paas, F(2019). Cognitive Architecture and Instructional Design: 20 Years Later. *Educ Psychol Rev* **31**, 261–292. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09465-5>
- Benjamin & Main (2022, January 17). Cognitive Load Theory: A teacher's guide. Retrieved from <https://www.structural-learning.com/post/cognitive-load-theory-a-teachers-guide>
- Bergman, Z., Bergman, M. M., & Thatcher, A. (2019). Agency and Bandura's Model of Triadic Reciprocal Causation: An Exploratory Mobility Study Among Metrorail Commuters in the Western Cape, South Africa. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 414811. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00411>
- Tri Harinie, L. (2017). Study of the Bandura's Social Cognitive Learning Theory for the Entrepreneurship Learning Process. *Social Sciences*, 6(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ss.20170601.11>
- CORRELATION ANALYSIS BETWEEN SELF-EFFICACY AND PSYCHOLOGICAL ANXIETY OF COLLEGE ENGLISH LEARNERS BASED ON TRIADIC RECIPROCAL DETERMINISM. (2020). *REVISTA ARGENTINA DE CLINICA PSICOLOGICA*. <https://doi.org/10.24205/03276716.2020.190>
- MSEd, K. C. (2023, March 20). What Is Reciprocal Determinism? Verywell Mind. <https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-reciprocal-determinism-2795907>
- singh, D., & Yaduvanshi. (2015, March). Constructivism in Science Classroom: Why and How. <https://www.ijsrp.org/research-paper-0315/ijsrp-p3978.pdf>. Retrieved January 22, 2024.
- Mascolo, M. F., & Fischer, K. W. (2010). The dynamic development of thinking, feeling, and acting over the life span. *Handbook of life-span development*, 1, 149-194.
- Mcleod, S. (2023). Qualitative Vs Quantitative Research Methods

& DataAnalysis. Simply Psychology.

McLeod, S. (2011). Albert Bandura's social learning theory. Simply Psychology. London.

Pritchard, A., & Woollard, J. (2013). Psychology for the classroom: The social context. Routledge.

Shabani, K., Khatib, M., & Ebadi, S. (2010). Vygotsky's zone of proximal development: Instructional implications and teachers' professional development. English language teaching, 3(4), 237-248.