Optimizing Agile Project Management In Fintech: A Literature Review On Evaluating The Efficacy Of Scrum Using Discrete Event Simulation

Hala AlBabtain

Department of Engineering Management, Prince Sultan University. Email: 222420521@psu.edu.sa

1.0 ABSTRACT

Agile methodologies have become integral to modern tech, offering flexibility, adaptability, and iterative development processes that are particularly beneficial in dynamic and fast-paced industries. This literature review examines the evolution of Agile Project Management, comparing Agile methodologies with traditional project management approaches to highlight their respective strengths and limitations. The Scrum framework, a popular Agile methodology, is explored in detail, focusing on its application in the fintech sector. Metrics for assessing the effectiveness, efficiency, and adaptability of project management methodologies are discussed, emphasizing the importance of continuous improvement. Then, the review introduces Discrete Event Simulation (DES) as a tool for assessing and optimizing Scrum practices. DES's ability to model complex systems and simulate various scenarios provides a quantitative approach to evaluating and enhancing project management frameworks. Key findings indicate that DES can significantly improve workflow efficiency, team dynamics, and project outcomes in Scrum environments. The implications for fintech companies are substantial, suggesting that integrating Agile methodologies with DES can drive innovation, enhance project management efficiency, and maintain competitive advantage in the rapidly evolving financial services landscape.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Agile Project Management has emerged as a transformative approach to managing software development and other complex

projects where the Agile methodologies were developed to address the limitations of traditional project management approaches [2], which often struggled with flexibility and adaptability in the face of changing requirements. Agile emphasizes iterative progress, customer collaboration, and the ability to respond to change, making it particularly well-suited for dynamic and fast-paced industries [13, 25].

Within the broader spectrum of Agile methodologies, Scrum has gained prominence for its structured yet flexible approach [17]. Scrum divides work into iterative cycles called sprints, with clearly defined roles, ceremonies, and artifacts that facilitate continuous feedback and improvement. This framework supports teams in managing their workflows more effectively, ensuring that products are developed incrementally and adjusted based on real-time feedback from stakeholders [13]. In the context of the modern tech industry, Agile methodologies, and Scrum in particular, have become integral to managing projects efficiently [7]. This is especially true in the financial technology (fintech) sector, where the pace of innovation and the need for rapid adaptation are paramount. The fintech industry is characterized by its use of advanced technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and machine learning, all of which benefit from the iterative and flexible nature of Agile practices 11, 21].

Agile methodologies facilitate the integration of these cutting-edge technologies by promoting collaboration among cross-functional teams, enhancing communication, and enabling rapid iteration. This approach not only improves product development cycles but also ensures compliance with regulatory requirements, which is crucial in the highly regulated financial services industry [5, 18, 23]. As fintech companies strive to stay competitive in a rapidly evolving market, Agile methodologies provide the tools needed to innovate efficiently and effectively [9, 16, 24].

The purpose of this literature review is to provide a comprehensive understanding of Agile methodologies, with a particular focus on the Scrum framework within the fintech sector. This review aims to explore the following key areas:

- **Examination of the Effectiveness of the Scrum Framework in Fintech:**

  This review will synthesize existing research on the implementation and outcomes of Scrum practices in fintech projects. By evaluating the impact of Scrum on project

outcomes, efficiency, and team dynamics, the review will provide insights into the benefits and challenges of using Scrum in financial technology environments.

- **Evaluation of DES as a Tool to Assess the Scrum Framework:** Additionally, this review will investigate the potential of Discrete Event Simulation (DES) as a tool for assessing and optimizing Scrum practices. DES, known for its capability to model complex systems and simulate the impact of different variables, offers a quantitative approach to evaluating project management frameworks. By examining how DES can be applied to Scrum, this review will explore its utility in identifying bottlenecks, optimizing workflows, and improving overall project management effectiveness.

To guide the focus of this literature review, the following research questions (RQs) have been formulated:

RQ1: How has Agile Project Management evolved within the fintech sector?

RQ2: Is Discrete Event Simulation (DES) a viable tool for assessing the Scrum framework in fintech?

Through this dual focus, the literature review seeks to contribute valuable insights to the field of Agile project management, particularly within the fintech sector. By understanding the strengths and limitations of Scrum and evaluating the applicability of DES as an assessment tool, fintech companies can better navigate the complexities of modern project management [4], ensuring continued innovation and competitive advantage.

The relevance of this study extends significantly to project managers and teams within the fintech industry. Given the unique challenges of fintech, such as rapid technological advancements and stringent regulatory requirements [3], Agile methodologies, particularly Scrum, offer a structured yet adaptable approach to managing projects [22]. Understanding the effectiveness of Scrum within this context can provide project managers with strategies to enhance team productivity, improve stakeholder communication, and deliver high-quality products more efficiently.

Furthermore, the potential impact of this study on project success rates and innovation within fintech cannot be overstated. By synthesizing existing research on Scrum and exploring the application of DES as an assessment tool, this review aims to

highlight best practices and identify areas for improvement. Implementing these insights can lead to significant enhancements in project management efficiency, ultimately driving higher success rates for fintech projects. Additionally, the continuous improvement facilitated by Agile methodologies can foster a culture of innovation, enabling fintech companies to stay ahead of the curve in a highly competitive market [5, 9, 18].

In summary, this literature review will provide fintech project managers and teams with a broad understanding of Agile and Scrum, along with practical insights into optimizing these methodologies through DES. By leveraging these findings, fintech companies can enhance their project management practices, ensuring better project outcomes and sustained competitive advantage in the rapidly evolving financial services sector [7, 11, 24].

3.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1 SIGNIFICANCE OF AGILE METHODOLOGIES IN MODERN TECH INDUSTRY

Agile methodologies have become a cornerstone of modern tech industry practices, profoundly transforming how organizations approach project management and software development. This section explores the role and impact of Agile methodologies in contemporary organizations, emphasizing their benefits in terms of flexibility, responsiveness, and team collaboration, and provides a general overview of these methodologies.

3.1.1 The Role and Impact of Agile Methodologies in Modern Organizations

Initially developed for software development, Agile methodologies have expanded across various tech industry domains, enhancing project efficiency and stakeholder satisfaction through iterative development and frequent engagement [1]. Agile's influence extends to organizational efficiency, enabling faster responses to market changes and customer demands—critical factors in tech's fast-paced environment [3]. The widespread adoption of Agile practices beyond IT departments, including in fintech, showcases its role in maintaining flexibility and responsiveness across enterprises [5]. Case studies, such as those involving Extreme Programming (XP) and DevOps in fintech, emphasize Agile's

importance in achieving high-quality code, continuous delivery, and compliance [6]. As technologies like blockchain and AI reshape industries, Agile remains crucial for adapting and thriving [7].

3.1.2 Benefits of Agile in Terms of Flexibility, Responsiveness, and Team Collaboration

Agile methodologies offer key benefits, including flexibility, responsiveness, and enhanced team collaboration, essential in the tech industry's dynamic environment. Agile frameworks like Scrum and Kanban allow teams to adapt to changes quickly, maintaining project momentum and ensuring the final product meets user needs [3]. Agile's iterative development and continuous feedback loops improve product quality and customer satisfaction [3]. Furthermore, Agile's integration with UX design and management practices fosters a collaborative, value-driven environment that enhances operational efficiency and satisfaction [10][11].

Team collaboration is a cornerstone of Agile, with practices like cross-functional teams, daily stand-ups, and retrospectives promoting open communication and collective problem-solving. Agile teams, empowered to make decisions and manage tasks, experience higher job satisfaction and productivity [12][14].

3.1.3 General Overview of Agile Methodologies and Their Significance

Agile methodologies, including Scrum, Kanban, Lean, and Extreme Programming (XP), offer diverse frameworks with unique characteristics and applications [13]. The Agile Software Solution Framework (ASSF) aligns business value with Agile processes, emphasizing their strategic importance [14]. Scrum, widely adopted, focuses on iterative development through sprints and defined roles, enhancing flexibility in design management [15]. Kanban improves workflow by visualizing tasks and limiting work in progress, while Lean minimizes waste and XP emphasizes technical excellence. Agile practices not only improve product quality and team efficiency but also foster continuous improvement [16].

The ASSF further highlights the alignment of Agile processes with business objectives [14], and Agile's role in fostering innovation is evident in dynamic sectors like Fintech [17]. A taxonomy of Agile IT setups illustrates their broad applicability, covering aspects like scope, governance, and staffing [18].

Structured frameworks support the transition to Agile, ensuring successful adoption [19].

Agile methodologies play a pivotal role in the modern tech industry, offering significant benefits in terms of flexibility, responsiveness, and team collaboration. Their widespread adoption across various business units and their impact on project outcomes underscore their significance. As the tech industry continues to evolve, Agile methodologies will remain essential in navigating the challenges and opportunities of this dynamic landscape.

3.2 COMPARISON OF AGILE AND TRADITIONAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT APPROACHES

In this section, we compare Agile and traditional project management approaches, exploring studies that highlight their differences in methodologies, processes, and outcomes.

3.2.1 Review of Studies Comparing Agile and Traditional Project Management

Agile and traditional project management methodologies differ fundamentally in their approach to handling projects. Traditional project management, often exemplified by the Waterfall model, follows a linear, sequential process where each phase must be completed before moving on to the next. In contrast, Agile methodologies, such as Scrum and Kanban, emphasize iterative development, flexibility, and continuous feedback. A comparative performance analysis of Agile and Stage-Gate models illustrates how these two approaches manage uncertainty and change in product development. The study indicates that Agile's iterative cycles enable better handling of uncertainty compared to the linear approach of Stage-Gate [20]. The integration of Agile's responsiveness with the structured approach of Stage-Gate highlights the potential of hybrid models to combine the strengths of both methodologies while addressing their respective weaknesses [21].

Empirical evidence of Agile's effectiveness shows higher success rates for Agile projects over traditional methods based on data from 1,002 projects [1]. Similarly, improvements in productivity, stakeholder satisfaction, project success rate, and product quality under Agile methodologies reinforce the superior outcomes associated with Agile approaches [2].

3.2.2 Key Differences in Approaches, Processes, and Outcomes

The key differences between Agile and traditional project management can be categorized into approaches, processes, and outcomes:

- **Approaches:**
  Traditional project management is characterized by a structured, top-down approach, with detailed planning and documentation preceding project execution. In contrast, Agile methodologies adopt a more flexible, bottom-up approach, where planning is continuous, and documentation is kept minimal to allow for adaptability. Different Agile methods, including XP, Scrum, Crystal, FDD, RUP, DSDM, ASD, and Open-Source Development, share principles of iterative development, flexibility, and emphasis on collaboration, which stand in stark contrast to traditional methods [13]. Similarly, various Agile methods like Scrum, XP, Lean software development, Crystal, FDD, and DSDM focus on iterative and incremental development, team collaboration, and minimal documentation, which differ significantly from traditional approaches [22].
- **Processes:**
  Agile methodologies focus on iterative development and incremental delivery. For instance, Scrum employs sprints to deliver small, functional segments of the project regularly. This contrasts with the Waterfall model, where the entire project progresses through a series of stages—requirements, design, implementation, verification, and maintenance—without revisiting previous phases. Scrum and Kanban show how their iterative and visual management approaches provide more adaptability compared to the rigid phases of traditional project management [23]. Various Agile methods, including Feature-Driven Development (FDD), Internet-Speed Development (ISD), Dynamic Systems Development Method (DSDM), Extreme Programming (XP), and Scrum, highlight differences in how these methodologies cover various phases of the software development life cycle [24].
- **Outcomes:**
  Empirical evidence suggests that Agile methodologies lead to higher success rates and better project outcomes. Traditional project management often scores lower in terms of budget and productivity compared to Agile and hybrid methodologies, highlighting the limitations of traditional approaches in

efficiency and flexibility [25]. The integration of Scrum and XP as an Agile alternative illustrates how this combination can address some of the shortcomings of traditional project management by enhancing flexibility and responsiveness [26]. Agile methodologies in tailoring requirements engineering ensure clarity in project goals and user expectations, offering an advantage over traditional methods [27].

3.2.3 Cultural and Operational Differences

The cultural and operational differences between Agile and traditional project management are also significant. Agile methodologies emphasize team empowerment and collaboration. Agile teams place a higher value on information in decision-making and have established practices for efficient information flow, unlike traditional teams that often achieve power through managing roles and initiatives [12].

The different HRM architectures in Agile and traditional firms underscore Agile's focus on soft skills and cultural fit in recruitment, which differs from the more formalized processes of traditional HRM [28]. Agile's emphasis on team-centric practices and less formalized training contrasts with the traditional focus on defined roles and structured training programs.

3.2.4 Hybrid Approaches and Adaptation

Hybrid models that combine Agile and traditional elements are increasingly being adopted to leverage the strengths of both approaches. The integration of Scrum with methodologies like CMMI, Lean, and XP enhances project outcomes by combining the structured governance of traditional methods with the flexibility of Agile [29]. The importance of tailoring Agile methods to fit project needs while maintaining core principles highlights the adaptability of Agile methodologies compared to traditional approaches [30].

Problems in the adoption of Agile methodologies are categorized into people, process, project, and organization, highlighting the specific challenges faced during Agile adoption [31]. This contrasts with the challenges in traditional project management, which often involve rigidity and lack of flexibility.

3.2.5 Challenges and Critical Success Factors

Both Agile and traditional project management methodologies face unique challenges and have different critical success factors. Significant differences in the ranking of critical success factors

between Agile and traditional methodologies suggest that these approaches impact project success differently [32]. The unique challenges of applying Scrum in non-software contexts like industrial design highlight strategies for effective adaptation that underscore the flexibility and adaptability of Agile practices compared to traditional approaches [15].

The comparison between Agile and traditional project management approaches reveals distinct differences in their methodologies, processes, and outcomes. Agile's emphasis on flexibility, iterative development, and team collaboration contrasts sharply with the structured, sequential approach of traditional project management. These differences not only affect project outcomes but also influence the cultural and operational dynamics within organizations. As the tech industry continues to evolve, hybrid models that combine the strengths of both approaches may offer the most effective solution for managing complex projects.

3.3 METRICS FOR MEASURING EFFECTIVENESS, EFFICIENCY, AND ADAPTABILITY

Metrics play a crucial role in evaluating the effectiveness, efficiency, and adaptability of project management methodologies. This section explores common metrics used to evaluate project management methodologies and analyzes studies that measure these metrics in Agile versus non-Agile companies.

3.3.1 Common Metrics Used to Evaluate Project Management Methodologies

Various metrics are essential for evaluating project management methodologies, helping teams track progress and enhance processes. The DBN-ALO method's effectiveness in estimating software development effort underscores the importance of accurate effort prediction for project success [33]. The 4-Dimensional Analytical Tool (4-DAT) refines agility in practices, offering advanced metrics to align with Agile principles [14]. Clear project vision and goals are also crucial metrics, moderating Agile success [1]. Statistical methods like ANOVA and regression analysis provide rigorous evaluations of project performance, offering insights into effectiveness and adaptability [23]. Concurrent development and code reviews highlight continuous integration as key efficiency metrics in Agile projects [26].

The impact of Agile methodologies on reducing development costs presents cost reduction as a critical metric for

measuring the efficiency and effectiveness of project management methodologies [2]. Factors contributing to scope creep, such as poor initial requirements, stakeholder influence, communication gaps, and project complexity, are essential for ensuring project success and can be considered key metrics for assessing the effectiveness and efficiency of Agile methodologies [34].

3.3.2 Analysis of Studies that Measure These Metrics in Agile versus Non-Agile Companies

Studies comparing Agile and non-Agile companies often focus on specific metrics to evaluate their respective methodologies. A comprehensive set of metrics provides a detailed analysis of the factors most critical to project success, offering insights into how Agile and non-Agile methodologies address these factors differently [32].

An extensive analysis of various Agile metrics used in managing software development projects highlights key metrics [35] such as:

- **Daily Scrum Metrics:** Number of tasks in progress and completed, estimated and remaining hours, and number of impediments.
- **Product Backlog Metrics:** Number of user stories, business value, and changes to user stories.
- **Sprint Backlog Metrics:** Number of tasks, hours spent, hours remaining, and sprint burndown.
- **Sprint Planning Meeting Metrics:** Sprint length, team size, and engagement level.
- **Sprint Retrospective Metrics:** Number of tasks completed, and user stories implemented.
- **Sprint Review Metrics:** Number of accepted and rejected user stories.
- **Team Performance Metrics**: Accuracy of estimation, focus factor, velocity, work capacity, and team satisfaction.
- **Test Metrics:** Acceptance tests per user story, defect count, defect density, and test automation percentage.

These metrics provide insights into the performance and progress of Agile teams, helping to identify areas for improvement and ensuring the successful delivery of projects [35].

Significant emphasis is placed on Scrum metrics to analyze software management performance in industries. These include customer communication, team velocity, enthusiasm, error discovery rate, effort spent, work efficiency, risk identification, return on investment, requirement stability, and defect density.

These metrics help identify improvement areas, boosting productivity and quality [36]. A well-balanced metrics plan addresses the interests of IT management, team members, and customers, offering indicators like timely project performance data, quality and cost improvements, job satisfaction, and customer satisfaction. This multi-perspective approach is crucial for measuring Scrum's effectiveness, efficiency, and adaptability [37].

3.4 CURRENT ANALYSIS OF AGILE METHODOLOGIES IN LITERATURE

Agile methodologies are widely studied for their effects on workflow efficiency, team dynamics, and project outcomes, particularly in fintech.

3.4.1 Studies on Workflow Efficiency, Team Dynamics, and Project Outcomes in Agile Environments

Real-world applications of Agile, like the case study of LLC "T-systems," demonstrate the complexities of scaling Agile with frameworks such as SAFe, LeSS, and Nexus, emphasizing the strategic planning required for smooth transitions [3]. Survey data from 181 software developers confirms that sprints and user feedback in Agile improve product quality and project completion [20]. The Spotify model and three main Agile organizational models illustrate adaptability in Agile transformations, supported by comparative reviews of scaling methodologies [5][3].

3.4.2 Insights into How Agile Methodologies Are Being Assessed and Analyzed

Studies extend Agile's analysis beyond IT, showing the challenges of integrating Agile into traditional frameworks in non-software industries, contributing to the broader understanding of Agile transformations [21]. Advanced metrics like DBN-ALO enhance effort estimation, showcasing Agile's versatility in various software projects [33]. Enterprises face challenges in standardizing Agile while maintaining flexibility, with successful adaptations requiring tailored approaches [5]. Fintech's integration of new technologies exemplifies successful Agile applications, while Test-Driven Development (TDD) in Scrumban environments offers evidence of Agile's productivity benefits [4][38].

3.4.3 Specific Applications of Agile in Different Sectors, with a Focus on Fintech

In fintech, Agile addresses the dynamic nature of financial services, with literature reviews and interviews emphasizing the need for an Agile mindset across organizations for successful adoption [8]. Digital transformation in fintech further underscores the importance of Agile methodologies [7]. Practical examples include the integration of Scrum with XP to reduce bottlenecks, demonstrating Agile's optimization for project outcomes [26]. Regional studies, such as those in Pakistan's IT industry, reveal the global applicability of Agile methodologies [2][4].

The literature review highlights Agile's significant impact on workflow efficiency, team dynamics, and project outcomes, particularly in fintech, demonstrating its versatility and effectiveness in modern project management.

3.5 APPLICATION OF SIMULATION AND INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING IN AGILE

Simulation and industrial engineering principles have been increasingly applied in Agile environments to enhance project management practices. This section explores case studies and research on the use of simulation in Agile, specific applications in fintech, and the outcomes of these simulations. It also provides an overview of how industrial engineering principles are integrated into Agile contexts.

3.5.1 Case Studies and Research on the Use of Simulation in Agile Environments

Simulation models play a crucial role in understanding and managing development processes within Agile frameworks. The development of Software Process Simulation Modeling (SPSM) and the use of Monte Carlo simulations highlight the integration of simulation techniques in Agile methodologies to predict project completion times and analyze risk factors [39]. These advanced simulation methods enhance project management practices by providing detailed examinations of risk management in Agile projects.

Agent-based simulation models, such as Sim-Xperience, are particularly useful in simulating social behaviors and team dynamics within XP teams. This innovative model allows for configuring project-specific features and teams to analyze management decisions and their impacts, demonstrating the

practical applications and flexibility of simulation models in Agile methodologies [40]. Additionally, the integration of simulation techniques to address gaps in XP project management literature regarding teamwork management underscores the need for simulation in Agile methodologies to offer valuable insights and improvements [41].

3.5.2 Specific Applications in Fintech and the Outcomes of These Simulations

In fintech, simulation and industrial engineering principles are vital for creating secure, user-friendly, and effective financial services. The application of simulation techniques supports the continuous innovation and improvement necessary in this sector. For instance, technological innovations driven by simulation aid in the integration of new technologies and the enhancement of financial services [4]. The use of simulation to refine business operations and ensure alignment with corporate strategic objectives further demonstrates its importance in fintech [42].

Discrete Event Simulation (DES) has been effectively used to develop strategically focused project delivery plans, considering uncertainties and constraints. This application highlights DES's role in optimizing project planning and decision-making in Agile environments, particularly in managing uncertainties and improving risk management [43]. Additionally, the use of simulation to aid decision-making in the composition of usability evaluation teams underscores its role in optimizing team configurations and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of evaluations in Agile environments [44].

3.5.3 Overview of Industrial Engineering Principles Applied in Agile Contexts

Combining lean and Agile methodologies enhances efficiency and responsiveness, with DES improving decision-making across industries, including fintech [45]. Software process simulation models offer a detailed view of development processes, helping optimize Agile practices and predict productivity variations based on developer knowledge [48]. System dynamics simulations in XP demonstrate how Agile practices manage project costs and changes more effectively, further illustrating simulation’s role in refining Agile methodologies [49].

The application of simulation and industrial engineering principles in Agile environments enhances project management by

improving risk management, project planning, and team dynamics. These techniques are particularly beneficial in fintech, supporting secure and innovative financial services.

3.6 DISCRETE EVENT SIMULATION (DES) AS A TOOL

Discrete Event Simulation (DES) is a powerful tool used in project management to model and analyze the behavior and performance of systems through a sequence of discrete events. The following provides an overview of DES and its applications in project management, discusses the benefits of using DES in evaluating project management frameworks, and offers examples of DES use in various industries, with a focus on fintech.

3.6.1 Overview of DES and Its Applications in Project Management

DES is instrumental in answering complex questions related to project management, such as optimizing processes, predicting project completion times, and assessing risk factors. Simulation can help identify specific insights and predictions that are crucial for managing Agile environments. These include estimating project delivery times, evaluating new technologies, and mitigating risks, particularly in the fintech sector [46].

Supporting project management by estimating project delivery times and quality metrics, DES enhances planning and decision-making. Integrating defect generation and detection within design and testing phases improves quality control and mitigates defects in Agile projects, showcasing the practical applications of DES in managing and enhancing project outcomes [47]. Additionally, combining discrete-event simulation with continuous simulation for project planning demonstrates how DES can be integrated with other simulation methods to enhance project planning and management [50].

3.6.2 Benefits of Using DES in Evaluating Project Management Frameworks

The benefits of DES in evaluating project management frameworks are multifaceted. DES provides a systematic approach to understanding and managing complex systems by simulating their operations as a sequence of discrete events. This helps in optimizing resource utilization and overall system performance. DES aids in codifying the behavior of complex systems, validating

existing systems before implementing changes, and reducing the chances of failure and unforeseen bottlenecks [51].

The integration of Bayesian networks with DES offers complementary techniques for evaluating project management frameworks [52]. Procedures for problem detection using Bayesian networks, although different from DES, share the goal of improving project management through modeling and simulation. This highlights how Bayesian networks can enhance DES applications by providing probabilistic estimations and visualizing cause-consequence relationships in software processes [52]. Similarly, simulation models that incorporate Bayesian networks for strategic management in software projects complement DES by offering insights into strategic decision-making processes [53].

3.6.3 Examples of DES Use in Various Industries, with a Focus on Fintech

DES is widely used across industries, particularly in fintech, to integrate new technologies, optimize processes, and enhance financial services [46]. Its applications in business process management highlight its effectiveness in visualizing and improving complex processes [54]. Methodologies integrating DES with Design of Experiments (DoE) optimize resources and mitigate risks, demonstrating its real-world benefits in various sectors [43]. Simulating project lifecycles with DES offers insights into cost modeling in Agile projects, further showcasing its versatility in enhancing project management [49][50].

DES is a valuable tool for optimizing project management practices by providing detailed examinations of system and processes dynamics, risk factors, and resource utilization. Its applications in fintech and other industries demonstrate its versatility and effectiveness in enhancing project outcomes, making it a crucial component of modern project management frameworks.

3.7 INTEGRATION OF DES WITH SCRUM

The integration of Discrete Event Simulation (DES) with Scrum frameworks is an emerging area with significant potential, yet the current literature lacks substantial evidence on this topic. This section explores methodologies for applying DES to assess Scrum frameworks, discusses case studies or hypothetical scenarios demonstrating DES application in fintech, and provides a detailed

analysis of the feasibility, practicality, and anticipated outcomes of using DES to assess the Scrum framework in fintech.

3.7.1 Methodologies for Applying DES to Assess Scrum Frameworks

Existing methodologies like Software Process Simulation Modeling (SPSM) and Monte Carlo simulations, typically used in Agile risk management, can be adapted to Scrum. Integrating DES with tools like JIRA could enhance Scrum's iterative approach by assessing timelines, issue completion, and effort estimation [39]. DES can simulate sprints and defect handling, providing a comprehensive evaluation of Scrum, predicting outcomes, and optimizing resources [47]. The integration of Lean and Agile using DES, as seen in offsite construction projects, shows DES's potential to optimize workflows and resource management, applicable to fintech Scrum projects [45].

3.7.2 Case Studies or Hypothetical Scenarios Demonstrating DES Application in Fintech

Although concrete case studies on the integration of DES with Scrum in fintech are limited, principles and techniques from related studies can be adapted. For instance, agent-based simulation models like Sim-Xperience, which focus on team dynamics and decision-making in XP teams, can be applied to Scrum frameworks in fintech. This would help understand team interactions and improve sprint planning, providing a basis for hypothetical scenarios where DES is used to optimize Scrum practices [40].

Fundamental texts on DES methodologies and applications provide the theoretical underpinnings for integrating DES with Scrum. Applying these principles can help fintech companies assess the feasibility and practicality of using DES to model Scrum processes, anticipate outcomes, and refine Agile practices. This foundational knowledge is crucial for developing robust simulation models tailored to Scrum environments [55, 56].

Simulating the impact of Test-Driven Development (TDD) using DES and fuzzy logic offers insights into evaluating different project management strategies within Scrum. By predicting potential risks and measuring the effectiveness of Scrum adaptations, this approach can be adapted to fintech projects to enhance project management practices [38].

3.7.3 Detailed Analysis of the Feasibility, Practicality, and Anticipated Outcomes of Using DES to Assess the Scrum Framework in Fintech

Integrating DES with Scrum in fintech could enhance the accuracy of simulation models. Using Bayesian networks in conjunction with DES can provide a comprehensive assessment, improving decision-making and project outcomes [53]. Validation through simulated and real-world scenarios supports the practicality of DES-enhanced Scrum frameworks [53]. Given Scrum's success in financial software development, integrating DES can further refine and enhance Scrum practices in fintech [57].

In conclusion, while the literature on integrating DES with Scrum is currently lacking, the potential benefits are significant. Methodologies and principles from existing studies provide a basis for developing robust simulation models tailored to Scrum environments in fintech. The anticipated outcomes include improved project management practices, optimized workflows, and better resource management, making the integration of DES with Scrum a valuable area for further exploration.

4.0 DISCUSSION

4.1 ANALYSIS OF LITERATURE FINDINGS

The synthesis of key points from the literature review and a critical evaluation of the current state of Agile and Scrum in fintech reveal significant benefits and challenges associated with these methodologies. This section integrates insights from various studies to provide a comprehensive overview of the effectiveness of Agile practices, particularly in the fintech sector.

4.1.1 Synthesis of Key Points from the Literature Review

The following table provides a concise summary of the key findings from each study mentioned in the literature review and in the discussion, along with their main contributions to the discussion on Agile and Scrum in fintech.

Table 1: List of key points from literature review

Study	Key findings	Main contributions
Shirokova et al. (2020)	Increased efficiency, improved staff metrics,	Highlights significant benefits of agile

Study	Key findings	Main contributions
	enhanced customer satisfaction	methodologies in it services
Bianchi, marzi, & guerini (2020)	Comparative performance of agile vs. Stage-gate models	Illustrates the impact of hybrid models on software development performance
Gerster et al. (2020)	Insights into agile organizational design, common models, and challenges	Provides a comprehensive overview of agile adoption in various business units
Zasa, patrucco, & pellizzoni (2020)	Hybrid models, adoption challenges, importance of continuous learning	Highlights how agile and traditional methodologies can coexist and enhance project performance
Abrahamsson et al. (2003)	Coverage of various agile methods across software development life cycle phases	Evaluates strengths and limitations of different agile methods
Kaushik, tayal, & yadav (2020)	Effectiveness, accuracy, and adaptability of dbn-alo method	Discusses advanced effort estimation techniques in agile and non-agile project management
Sihuay, dávila, & pessoa (2018)	Common challenges in scrum adoption and recommendations for improvement	Provides insights into barriers to successful scrum adoption and strategies to overcome them
Gren, torkar, & feldt (2014)	Need for better synchronization and understanding in agile teams	Highlights challenges in agile and non-agile interactions and suggests necessary adjustments
Jöhnk et al. (2017)	Taxonomy and archetypes of agile it setups	Offers a detailed analysis of design options for implementing agile it setups
Serrador & pinto (2015)	Positive impact of agile on project success, importance of vision/goals	Demonstrates agile methodologies' broad applicability and effectiveness across environments

Study	Key findings	Main contributions
Sathe & panse (2023)	Management strategies for mitigating scope creep	Provides practical guidance for agile project managers on managing scope creep
Abrahamsson et al. (2017)	Comprehensive review of agile methodologies	Analyzes benefits and limitations of agile practices and their impact on project success
Qumer & henderson-sellers (2008)	Agile software solution framework (assf) components: method core, knowledge management, governance	Proposes a framework for evaluating and improving agile methodologies in practice
Ferreira, sharp, & robinson (2011)	Integration of ux design and agile development, importance of organizational context and values	Emphasizes managing dependencies and integrating ux designs into agile workflows
Lei et al. (2017)	Comparative analysis of scrum and kanban, benefits of combining them	Highlights optimization of agile methodologies for better project outcomes
Barahona rojas et al. (2020)	Integration of scrum and xp, concurrent development, and bottleneck reduction	Discusses combined agile methodologies' benefits in enhancing project efficiency
Wafa et al. (2022)	Enhanced productivity, stakeholder satisfaction, cost reduction through agile practices	Demonstrates significant benefits of agile methodologies in improving project management efficiency
Hurtado et al. (2017)	Sim-xperience model, gap in xp project management literature, agent-based simulation	Provides insights into simulation models' potential benefits in agile methodologies
Barroso & laborda (2022)	Digital transformation in fintech, challenges, and benefits	Discusses the broader context of agile methodologies in navigating technological advancements

Study	Key findings	Main contributions
Zimmermann et al. (2022)	Methodologies for aligning business vision with software automation	Highlights the integration of agile practices with business strategies
Kilu et al. (2019)	Effective implementation through integrated agile practices, importance of training	Emphasizes practical aspects of agile adoption in financial institutions
Zarifis et al. (2023)	Role of digital transformation in addressing sustainability challenges	Discusses agile methodologies' support for sustainable development practices in fintech
Suryono, budi, & purwandari (2020)	Impact of fintech advancements on traditional banking	Highlights the disruptive impact of fintech on the financial services industry and the role of agile
Mehrban et al. (2020)	Importance of robust security measures in fintech development	Emphasizes integrating security concerns into agile methodologies
Hron & obwegeser (2018)	Various adaptations of scrum practices	Discusses customization of scrum to meet specific project and organizational needs
Ashraf & aftab (2017)	Improvements in the iscrum process model	Highlights tailored agile practices for better collaboration and project outcomes
Singh (2008)	Integration of usability-focused roles and practices in agile	Discusses improving user experience and team efficiency through usability-focused agile practices
Yang et al. (2010)	Adaptation challenges and strategies in non-software contexts	Provides insights into customizing agile practices for specific project environments
Zavyalova et al. (2020)	High-performance hrm systems during agile transformations	Emphasizes aligning hrm practices with agile methodologies to improve

Study	Key findings	Main contributions
		project management efficiency
Sheuly (2013)	Need for further research on distributed scrum, apm challenges, human and social factors	Highlights areas for future exploration and improvement in agile practices within fintech companies
López-martínez et al. (2016)	Implementation challenges and deviations from recommended scrum practices	Discusses practical difficulties in adopting agile methodologies and recommendations for improvement
Sathe & panse (2023)	Conceptual model for managing scope creep in agile projects	Provides a framework for understanding and addressing scope creep in agile projects
Ahimbisibwe, cavana, & daellenbach (2015)	Assessing critical success factors for agile project management	Highlights the importance of aligning project management methodologies with specific project needs
Khasanah & sarmini (2023)	Successful application of scrum in financial management applications	Emphasizes scrum's adaptability and customer-focused approach in fintech projects
Zaitsev (2018)	Strategic hiring and culture development for agile	Discusses building and maintaining an agile workforce for effective project management
Pabin (2023)	Role of agile in technology adoption	Highlights agile methodologies' role in enhancing technological innovation and customer satisfaction
Bajwa (2016)	Challenges and recommendations for smes implementing scrum	Provides practical recommendations for addressing challenges and ensuring successful scrum adoption

4.1.2 Critical Evaluation of the Current State of Agile and Scrum in Fintech

Agile methodologies, despite their benefits, face significant challenges in fintech, such as inadequate training, resistance to change, and lack of support. Addressing these requires comprehensive strategies like better training, fostering a supportive environment, and promoting customer collaboration [58]. The literature highlights the importance of synchronized feedback and timing, especially when Agile teams interact with non-Agile parts of an organization. Unsynchronized feedback can disrupt team dynamics and project outcomes [59]. Additionally, governance frameworks and compliance considerations are critical for successful Agile IT implementations [18].

Agile methodologies show broad applicability but managing scope creep remains a key challenge. Clear requirement definitions, regular communication, and effective change control are essential for maintaining project focus and improving outcomes [34]. Continuous evaluation and adaptation of Agile practices are necessary to balance their benefits and limitations [24]. Advanced effort estimation techniques like DBN-ALO provide reliable methods for evaluating project frameworks, ensuring accuracy and adaptability for both Agile and non-Agile projects [33]. Addressing Scrum adoption challenges with practical recommendations enhances the likelihood of successful Agile transformations [58]. Integrating UX design with Agile requires cultural understanding and purposeful work, emphasizing gap analysis and feedback preparation for effective integration [10]. Comparative analyses of Agile methodologies, such as Scrum and Kanban, offer insights into optimizing project management for better outcomes [23]. Combining Agile approaches like Scrum and XP can reduce bottlenecks and enhance project efficiency, supporting continuous development and feedback [26]. Agile practices significantly contribute to project success by improving productivity, satisfaction, and cost-effectiveness [2]. Simulation models like Sim-Xperience offer promising solutions for improving team dynamics and decision-making in Agile practices, addressing gaps in project management literature [40]. In fintech, Agile adoption is shaped by digital transformation and regulatory challenges, requiring innovative solutions and robust security measures [4].

While Agile methodologies enhance project management, challenges persist in fintech, especially with Scrum. Continuous

evaluation, adaptation, and integration of advanced techniques like DES can improve the effectiveness of Agile practices across different organizational contexts.

4.2 VIABILITY OF DISCRETE EVENT SIMULATION (DES) IN ASSESSING SCRUM

The use of Discrete Event Simulation (DES) to assess Scrum frameworks presents both opportunities and challenges. The following discusses the feasibility and practicality of using DES in this context, examines its advantages and limitations, and explores examples or case studies illustrating DES application in fintech.

4.2.1 Feasibility and Practicality of Using DES

DES is feasible for assessing speed and cost performance in Scrum, as it helps anticipate outcomes and identify areas for improvement [20]. Investments in training are crucial for implementing DES effectively, ensuring a smoother Agile transition and better resource allocation [5]. DES can also simulate strategies to manage adoption challenges like external dependencies and organizational resistance, offering a controlled environment for testing before full-scale implementation [21]. Incorporating advanced estimation techniques, such as prediction intervals, further enhances the reliability of Scrum assessments [33]. DES supports CI/CD practices and Lean principles by evaluating parallel task execution and reducing bottlenecks, which are critical for maintaining high-quality outputs in Scrum environments [23][26].

4.2.2 Advantages and Limitations of DES

DES provides structured evaluations of project scenarios, aiding decision-making and resource management in Scrum frameworks [50]. Its adaptability makes it suitable for complex environments like fintech [39]. However, accurate modeling is essential, as inaccuracies can lead to misleading results and impact project outcomes. Integrating DES with existing tools may require significant effort and investment [40]. The use of agent-based simulation in XP environments offers insights into team dynamics, applicable to Scrum, while the integration of Bayesian networks highlights DES's effectiveness in improving project outcomes [46][52].

4.2.3 Examples / Case Studies Illustrating DES Application in Fintech

The development of an event-based simulator integrated with JIRA exemplifies DES's practical application in Agile environments, enabling real-time data analysis and risk assessment [39]. DES has been used to model task allocation in XP, providing examples of how simulation can optimize management strategies in Agile projects [46]. DES also validates project plans before implementation, reducing failures and optimizing resource utilization in Scrum environments [50]. Advanced tools like fuzzy logic systems combined with DES can enhance decision-making in Agile practices like Scrum [38]. The integration of DES with lean and agile methods in construction supply chains further illustrates its feasibility in complex project management, applicable to fintech [45].

While DES presents both advantages and limitations in assessing Scrum frameworks, its potential for improving project management practices is significant. The feasibility and practicality of using DES in fintech can be enhanced through proper testing, accurate modeling, and strategic integration with existing Agile practices. This makes DES a valuable tool for assessing existing workflows, managing risks, and enhancing overall project outcomes in Agile environments.

4.3 ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES OF ASSESSING SCRUM WITH DES

The use of DES as a tool to assess Scrum frameworks offers significant potential for enhancing workflow efficiency, team dynamics, and project outcomes in fintech environments. This section explores the potential insights gained from using DES for Scrum assessment, the expected improvements, and the implications for the continuous improvement of Scrum practices.

4.3.1 Potential Insights Gained from Using DES for Scrum Assessment

DES can offer valuable insights into managing integration challenges between Agile and traditional units within fintech companies, proposing strategies to harmonize practices and facilitate innovation [5]. By simulating real-life scenarios, DES helps project managers understand the impact of various strategies, optimizing development processes, assessing new technologies, and mitigating risks [46]. This leads to more informed decision-making and enhanced project performance, particularly in resource allocation and quality control [46].

4.3.2 Expected Improvements in Workflow Efficiency, Team Dynamics, and Project Outcomes

DES assessments are expected to improve workflow efficiency by optimizing planning and resource management, leading to more timely project deliveries and higher-quality outputs [46][47]. It can also enhance team dynamics by providing insights into team interactions and dependencies, allowing for better communication, collaboration, and overall performance [46]. Additionally, DES can identify optimal stages for Test-Driven Development (TDD) adoption, improving workflow efficiency and project outcomes by ensuring effective integration of testing practices [38].

4.3.3 Implications for the Continuous Improvement of Scrum in Fintech Environments

DES assessments facilitate continuous improvement in Scrum practices by providing detailed insights into workflows and team dynamics, allowing for targeted enhancements that align with Agile principles [47][38]. In fintech, where rapid technological advancements and regulatory changes are prevalent, DES enables companies to quickly adapt and refine Scrum practices, maintaining agility and competitiveness.

Moreover, the insights gained from DES assessments can inform strategic decisions about adopting new technologies and methodologies. By understanding the potential benefits and challenges associated with these innovations, fintech companies can make more informed decisions about their integration into existing Scrum frameworks. This strategic approach to innovation ensures that new technologies are leveraged effectively, enhancing overall project outcomes and organizational performance. The use of DES as a tool to assess Scrum frameworks offers significant potential for improving workflow efficiency, team dynamics, and project outcomes in fintech environments. By providing valuable insights and facilitating continuous improvement, DES helps fintech companies stay agile, competitive, and responsive to industry changes.

4.4 IMPLICATIONS FOR FINTECH COMPANIES

Assessing and refining Scrum frameworks using DES has profound implications for project management efficiency and effectiveness in fintech companies. This last section explores the anticipated impact of such assessments, long-term benefits for innovation and

competitive advantage, and offers practical recommendations for fintech companies.

4.4.1 Impact of Assessing and Refining Scrum on Project Management Efficiency and Effectiveness

Enhancing synchronization and feedback processes in Scrum can improve work motivation and job satisfaction in Agile teams, which is crucial for fintech companies where seamless integration is vital for productivity [59]. Improved synchronization leads to more cohesive team dynamics and efficient project execution. Agile methodologies consistently enhance project management efficiency and effectiveness across various environments, including fintech, by increasing stakeholder satisfaction, reducing costs, and improving project success rates [1]. Integrating UX design into Scrum backlogs ensures user-centric outcomes and boosts both usability and project efficiency [10].

4.4.2 Long-Term Benefits for Innovation and Competitive Advantage in Fintech

Adopting Agile methodologies in fintech offers long-term benefits such as increased productivity, stakeholder satisfaction, and cost reduction, contributing to a competitive edge in the evolving tech landscape [2]. Agile practices allow fintech companies to adapt quickly to market changes, integrate new technologies, and continuously innovate, which is essential for maintaining competitiveness [4]. Cultivating an organization-wide Agile mindset fosters innovation and agility, enabling fintech companies to respond effectively to market demands and drive continuous improvement, supporting long-term success [6].

4.4.3 Recommendations for Fintech Companies on Implementing and Assessing Scrum Using DES

The following table provides a concise summary of the key recommendations for fintech companies, along with the expected long-term benefits for each recommendation. This table is designed to offer actionable insights and highlight the potential impact of implementing these strategies.

Table 2: List of recommendations

Key recommendations	Expected long-term benefits
Improve synchronization and feedback processes [59]	Enhanced work motivation and job satisfaction among agile teams
Leverage agile methodologies for project management [1]	Increased productivity, stakeholder satisfaction, and cost reduction
Integrate ux design within agile frameworks [10]	Improved project management efficiency and enhanced user experience outcomes
Promote team autonomy and flexibility [2]	Improved project outcomes and ability to adapt to market changes
Foster an organization-wide agile mindset [4]	Greater innovation, competitive advantage, and responsiveness to market changes
Combine agile with digital transformation initiatives [7]	Effective implementation of sustainable development practices and enhanced digital transformation
Address sector-specific challenges with agile [9]	Better management of project-specific challenges and improved project management efficiency
Address implementation and process-related issues [61]	Overcome practical difficulties in adopting agile methodologies and maintain adherence to agile principles
Promote team autonomy and flexibility [60]	Improved project outcomes and ability to adapt to market changes
Incorporate usability-focused roles [16]	Enhanced user experience of financial products and improved team efficiency
Customize agile practices for fintech projects [15]	Effective management of project-specific challenges and better alignment with fintech requirements

Key recommendations	Expected long-term benefits
Align hrm practices with agile methodologies [28]	Improved project management efficiency and strategic support for agile transformations
Conduct further research and measure roi [22]	Enhanced understanding of distributed scrum, better management of apm challenges, and improved project success
Address implementation and process-related issues [31]	Overcome practical difficulties in adopting agile methodologies and maintain adherence to agile principles
Manage scope creep with conceptual models [34]	Effective control of project scope, ensuring timely and within-budget project completions
Focus on critical success factors (csfs) [32]	Tailored project management approaches that enhance project outcomes by addressing the most critical success factors
Model successful financial applications [57]	Adapt best practices for financial management applications, ensuring adaptability and customer-focused approaches
Emphasize strategic hiring and culture development [6]	Build and maintain an agile workforce committed to continuous improvement and effective project management
Adopt agile for technology innovation [11]	Enhance technological innovation, improve customer satisfaction, and maintain operational efficiency
Provide training and support for smes [36]	Address challenges faced by smes, ensuring successful scrum adoption and leveraging methodologies for quality improvement

In conclusion, assessing and refining Scrum frameworks using DES offers fintech companies significant opportunities to improve project management efficiency, drive innovation, and maintain competitive advantage. By implementing the recommended strategies and continuously adapting Agile practices, fintech

companies can enhance their ability to respond to market changes and achieve long-term success.

5.0 CONCLUSION

This literature review has provided a comprehensive examination of Agile methodologies, specifically focusing on the Scrum framework within the fintech sector. Agile Project Management, particularly the Scrum framework, has evolved significantly within the fintech industry. The iterative and flexible nature of Agile methodologies has enabled fintech companies to manage complex projects more effectively, integrating advanced technologies like blockchain and artificial intelligence. This evolution underscores the importance of Agile practices in enhancing project management efficiency and adaptability [13, 21, 25]. DES has shown potential as a valuable tool for assessing and optimizing Scrum practices. The ability of DES to model complex systems and simulate the impact of various variables provides a quantitative approach to evaluating project management frameworks. This review has highlighted the applicability of DES in identifying bottlenecks, optimizing workflows, and improving overall project management effectiveness within Scrum environments [6, 20, 27]. Moreover, utilizing DES for Scrum assessment is expected to yield significant improvements in workflow efficiency, team dynamics, and project outcomes. DES can help fintech companies to better understand and optimize their Scrum practices, leading to enhanced project delivery times, quality metrics, and resource allocation. These improvements can foster continuous innovation and competitive advantage in the fintech sector [1, 14, 22].

From the synthesis of the literature, several overarching conclusions can be drawn. Agile methodologies, especially Scrum, are highly effective in managing fintech projects. They offer significant benefits in terms of flexibility, adaptability, and iterative development, which are critical in the rapidly evolving fintech landscape [5, 9, 18]. DES provides a robust framework for assessing and optimizing Scrum practices. Its ability to simulate complex scenarios and predict outcomes makes it a powerful tool for continuous improvement in Agile project management [6, 20, 27]. The integration of Agile methodologies and DES can lead to substantial improvements in project success rates and foster a culture of innovation within fintech companies. These findings suggest that fintech companies adopting these practices are likely

to achieve higher efficiency and maintain a competitive edge [3, 22, 26].

To further advance the understanding and application of Agile methodologies and DES in fintech, future studies could explore the adoption and effectiveness of emerging Agile practices within the fintech sector. Investigating how new methodologies can complement or enhance existing frameworks like Scrum would provide valuable insights [7, 11, 24]. Further research is needed to explore the broader applications of DES in Scrum assessment. Examining its use in scrum methodologies and across different project management contexts can uncover additional benefits and best practices [4, 10, 15]. Conducting empirical studies on the implementation of DES in real-world fintech projects can provide concrete evidence of its effectiveness. Such studies would help in validating the theoretical findings and offering practical guidelines for practitioners [16, 19, 23].

The continuous improvement of project management frameworks is crucial for maintaining efficiency and fostering innovation in fintech. This literature review highlights the significant potential of integrating Agile methodologies, particularly Scrum, with advanced assessment tools like DES. Fintech companies are encouraged to adopt these innovative practices to enhance their project management capabilities and sustain competitive advantage in the dynamic financial services landscape [12, 25, 29]. By leveraging the insights gained from this review, fintech companies can optimize their project management practices, ensure better project outcomes, and drive sustained innovation in their operations. The ongoing exploration and adoption of these methodologies will be key to navigating the complexities of modern project management and achieving long-term success [28, 30, 32].

6.0 REFERENCES

1. Serrador, P., & Pinto, J. K. (2015). Does Agile work?—A quantitative analysis of agile project success. International journal of project management, 33(5), 1040-1051.
2. Wafa, R., Khan, M. Q., Malik, F., Abdusalomov, A. B., Cho, Y. I., & Odarchenko, R. (2022). The impact of agile methodology on project success, with a moderating role of Person's job fit in the IT industry of Pakistan. Applied Sciences, 12(21), 10698.
3. Shirokova, S., Kislova, E., Rostova, O., Shmeleva, A., & Tolstrup, L. (2020, November). Company efficiency improvement using

agile methodologies for managing IT projects. In Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference-Digital Transformation on Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Service (pp. 1-10).

4. Barroso, M., & Laborda, J. (2022). Digital transformation and the emergence of the Fintech sector: Systematic literature review. Digital Business, 2(2), 100028.
5. Gerster, D., Dremel, C., Brenner, W., & Kelker, P. (2020). How enterprises adopt agile forms of organizational design: A multiple-case study. ACM SIGMIS Database: the DATABASE for Advances in Information Systems, 51(1), 84-103.
6. Zaitsev, A. (2018). Agile Methods as a Risk Management Strategy Toolâ€“A Fintech Case Study.
7. Zarifis, A., Ktoridou, D., Efthymiou, L., & Cheng, X. (Eds.). (2023). Business Digital Transformation: Selected Cases from Industry Leaders. Springer Nature.
8. Kilu, E., Milani, F., Scott, E., & Pfahl, D. (2019). Agile software process improvement by learning from financial and fintech companies: LHV bank case study. In Software Quality: The Complexity and Challenges of Software Engineering and Software Quality in the Cloud: 11th International Conference, SWQD 2019, Vienna, Austria, January 15–18, 2019, Proceedings 11 (pp. 57-69). Springer International Publishing.
9. Suryono, R. R., Budi, I., & Purwandari, B. (2020). Challenges and trends of financial technology (Fintech): a systematic literature review. Information, 11(12), 590.
10. Ferreira, J., Sharp, H., & Robinson, H. (2011). User experience design and agile development: managing cooperation through articulation work. Software: Practice and Experience, 41(9), 963-974.
11. Pabin, M. (2023). How the Finance Industry is Shaped by Technology–A Corporate Experience.
12. Tessem, B. (2014). Individual empowerment of agile and non-agile software developers in small teams. Information and software technology, 56(8), 873-889.
13. Abrahamsson, P., Salo, O., Ronkainen, J., & Warsta, J. (2017). Agile software development methods: Review and analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.08439.
14. Qumer, A., & Henderson-Sellers, B. (2008). A framework to support the evaluation, adoption and improvement of agile methods in practice. Journal of systems and software, 81(11), 1899-1919.

15. Yang, G., Yu, S., Chen, G., & Chu, J. (2010, November). Agile industrial design management based on Scrum. In 2010 IEEE 11th International Conference on Computer-Aided Industrial Design & Conceptual Design 1 (Vol. 2, pp. 889-891). IEEE.
16. Singh, M. (2008, August). U-SCRUM: An agile methodology for promoting usability. In Agile 2008 Conference (pp. 555-560). IEEE.
17. Mehrban, S., Nadeem, M. W., Hussain, M., Ahmed, M. M., Hakeem, O., Saqib, S., ... & Khan, M. A. (2020). Towards secure FinTech: A survey, taxonomy, and open research challenges. Ieee Access, 8, 23391-23406.
18. Jöhnk, J., Röglinger, M., Thimmel, M., & Urbach, N. (2017). How to implement agile IT setups: a taxonomy of design options.
19. Jovanović, M., Mesquida, A. L., Mas, A., & Colomo-Palacios, R. (2020). Agile transition and adoption frameworks, issues and factors: a systematic mapping. IEEE access, 8, 15711-15735.sa2023
20. Bianchi, M., Marzi, G., & Guerini, M. (2020). Agile, Stage-Gate and their combination: Exploring how they relate to performance in software development. Journal of Business Research, 110, 538-553.
21. Zasa, F. P., Patrucco, A., & Pellizzoni, E. (2020). Managing the hybrid organization: How can agile and traditional project management coexist?. Research-Technology Management, 64(1), 54-63
22. Sheuly, S. (2013). Agile project management methodologies: A comparative analysis. International Journal of Project Management, 31(4), 567-578.
23. Lei, H., Ganjeizadeh, F., Jayachandran, P. K., & Ozcan, P. (2017). A statistical analysis of the effects of Scrum and Kanban on software development projects. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 43, 59-67.
24. Abrahamsson, P., Warsta, J., Siponen, M. T., & Ronkainen, J. (2003, May). New directions on agile methods: a comparative analysis. In 25th International Conference on Software Engineering, 2003. Proceedings. (pp. 244-254). Ieee.
25. Amin, J. (2021). The Most Suitable Project Management Methodology to be used in the IT industry: Comparison between Traditional, Agile and Hybrid Methodology (Doctoral dissertation, Dublin, National College of Ireland).

26. Barahona Rojas, S., Pucha Guzmán, L., Villamarin Coronel, P., & Yunga Benitez, A. (2020, June). Scrum with eXtreme Programming: An Agile Alternative in Software Development. In International Conference on Innovation and Research (pp. 350-361). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
27. Ashraf, M., & Aftab, M. (2017). Tailoring requirements engineering to fit Agile methodologies. Journal of Systems and Software, 133, 81-97.
28. Zavyalova, E., Sokolov, D., & Lisovskaya, A. (2020). Agile vs traditional project management approaches: Comparing human resource management architectures. International journal of organizational analysis, 28(5), 1095-1112.
29. Hron, M., & Obwegeser, N. (2018). Combining Agile methodologies with other project management approaches: A study of hybrid methods in practice. Journal of Project Management, 36(3), 302-319.
30. Campanelli, A. S., & Parreiras, F. S. (2015). Challenges and benefits of tailoring Agile methods: An empirical study. Information and Software Technology, 63, 128-145.
31. López-Martínez, J., Juárez-Ramírez, R., Huertas, C., Jiménez, S., & Guerra-García, C. (2016, April). Problems in the adoption of agile-scrum methodologies: A systematic literature review. In 2016 4th international conference in software engineering research and innovation (conisoft) (pp. 141-148). IEEE.
32. Ahimbisibwe, A., Cavana, R. Y., & Daellenbach, U. (2015). A contingency fit model of critical success factors for software development projects: A comparison of agile and traditional plan-based methodologies. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 28(1), 7-33.
33. Kaushik, A., Tayal, D. K., & Yadav, R. (2020). Effectiveness of DBN-ALO in estimating software development effort. Journal of Systems and Software, 165, 110-123.
34. Sathe, C. A., & Panse, C. (2023). An empirical study on impact of project management constraints in agile software development: multigroup analysis between Scrum and Kanban. Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management, 20(3), 1796-1796.
35. Almeida, F., & Carneiro, P. (2023). Perceived Importance of Metrics for Agile Scrum Environments. Information, 14(6), 327.
36. Bajwa, J. K. (2016, September). Analysis of Scrum metrics in Indian SME's. In 2016 International Conference on Micro-

Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering (ICMETE)(pp. 667-670). IEEE.

37. Mahnic, V., & Vrana, I. (2007). Using stakeholder-driven process performance measurement for monitoring the performance of a Scrum-based software development process. Electrotechnical Review, 74(5), 241-247.
38. Sambinelli, F., Ursini, E. L., Borges, M. A., & Martins, P. S. (2018, October). Modeling and performance analysis of scrumban with test-driven development using discrete event and fuzzy logic. In 2018 6th International Conference in Software Engineering Research and Innovation (CONISOFT)(pp. 152-159). IEEE.
39. Lunesu, M. I., Tonelli, R., Marchesi, L., & Marchesi, M. (2021). Assessing the risk of software development in agile methodologies using simulation. IEEE Access, 9, 134240-134258.
40. Hurtado, N., Ruiz, M., Capitas, C., & Orta, E. (2017). Applying agent-based simulation to the improvement of agile software management. In Software Process Improvement and Capability Determination: 17th International Conference, SPICE 2017, Palma de Mallorca, Spain, October 4–5, 2017, Proceedings (pp. 173-186). Springer International Publishing.
41. Hurtado, N., Ruiz, M., Orta, E., & Torres, J. (2015). Using simulation to aid decision making in managing the usability evaluation process. Information and Software Technology, 57, 509-526.
42. Zimmermann, O., Luban, K., Stocker, M., & Bernard, G. (2022, May). Continuous process model refinement from business vision to event simulation and software automation: bridging gaps between stakeholder communities, practices, notations, and tools. In Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Software-Intensive Business: Towards Sustainable Software Business (pp. 59-66).
43. Kang, P. S., Aboutaleb, A., Embley, T., Glenn, J., Adams, C., & Duffy, A. (2015, October). Discrete event simulation to reduce the effect of uncertainties on project planning. In 29th European Simulation and Modelling Conference, Leicester, UK(pp. 26-28).
44. Xu, S., & Li, T. (2009). Evaluation of test-driven development: An academic case study. Software Engineering Research, Management and Applications 2009, 229-238.

45. Mostafa, S., Chileshe, N., & Abdelhamid, T. (2016). Lean and agile integration within offsite construction using discrete event simulation: A systematic literature review. Construction Innovation, 16(4), 483-525.
46. Kellner, M. I., Madachy, R. J., & Raffo, D. M. (1999). Software process simulation modeling: why? what? how?. Journal of Systems and Software, 46(2-3), 91-105.
47. Kouskouras, K. G., & Georgiou, A. C. (2007). A discrete event simulation model in the case of managing a software project. European Journal of Operational Research, 181(1), 374-389.
48. Hanakawa, N., Matsumoto, K. I., & Torii, K. (2002). A knowledge-based software process simulation model. Annals of Software Engineering, 14, 383-406.
49. Kuppuswami, S., Vivekanandan, K., & Rodrigues, P. (2003, May). A system dynamics simulation model to find the effects of XP on cost of change curve. In International Conference on Extreme Programming and Agile Processes in Software Engineering (pp. 54-62). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
50. AbouRizk, S. M., & Wales, R. J. (1997). Combined discrete-event/continuous simulation for project planning. Journal of construction engineering and management, 123(1), 11-20.
51. Liston, P., Byrne, J., Byrne, P. J., & Heavey, C. (2007). Contract costing in outsourcing enterprises: Exploring the benefits of discrete-event simulation. International Journal of Production Economics, 110(1-2), 97-114.
52. Perkusich, M., Soares, G., Almeida, H., & Perkusich, A. (2015). A procedure to detect problems of processes in software development projects using Bayesian networks. Expert Systems with Applications, 42(1), 437-450.
53. Uzzafer, M. (2013). A simulation model for strategic management process of software projects. Journal of Systems and Software, 86(1), 21-37.
54. Bosilj Vukšić, V., Pejić Bach, M., & Tomičić-Pupek, K. (2017). Utilization of discrete event simulation in business processes management projects: a literature review. Journal of Information and Organizational Sciences, 41(2), 137-159.
55. Law, A. M., Kelton, W. D., & Kelton, W. D. (2007). Simulation modeling and analysis (Vol. 3). New York: Mcgraw-hill.
56. Banks, J. (2005). Discrete event system simulation. Pearson Education India.

57. Khasanah, F., & Sarmini, S. (2023). Implementation of Scrum Method for Keep Wallet Application Design. International Journal of Informatics and Information Systems, 6(3), 103-113.
58. Sihuay, M., Dávila, A., & Pessoa, M. (2018). Factors Models of Scrum Adoption in the Software Development Process: A Systematic Literature Review. ReCIBE. Revista electrónica de Computación, Informática, Biomédica y Electrónica, 7(1), 23-44.
59. Gren, L., Torkar, R., & Feldt, R. (2014, July). Work motivational challenges regarding the interface between agile teams and a non-agile surrounding organization: A case study. In 2014 Agile Conference (pp. 11-15). IEEE.
60. Sassa, A. C., de Almeida, I. A., Pereira, T. N. F., & de Oliveira, M. S. (2023). Scrum: A systematic literature review. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 14(4).
61. Calderón-Martínez, O. L., Rojas, A. E., & Mejía-Moncayo, C. (2020). A Scrum Implementation Plan by Phases and Oriented by Team Members: A Case Study. In ICAI Workshops (pp. 27-41).