Journal of Namibian Studies, 39 S1 (2023): 1274-1282 ISSN: 2197-5523 (online)

Behavioral Finance: Fund Managers' Psychological Traits And Risk Management

Aman Alakdas Tirpude[1] , Dr. Chandrabhan Mehtar Tembhurnekar[2]

[1]Research Scholar, RTM Nagpur University, Nagpur.
[2]Supervisor, RTM Nagpur University, Nagpur.

Abstract

When it comes to managing risk and making decisions, fund managers are influenced by certain personality features. Behavioural finance sheds light on these tendencies. Examining the relationship between psychology and finance, this study looks at the years 2012–2022, specifically at the effects of cognitive biases, emotional intelligence, and personality factors on the capacity of fund managers to reduce risk and protect investor money. This research analyses the influences of important personality qualities on the results of fund management using data collected over a decade. These traits include herd behaviour, overconfidence, and loss aversion. Successful fund managers make use of their psychological strengths while minimising negative biases, according to the study that analyses these attributes using case studies and empirical data. In contrast to emotionally intelligent fund managers, who are better able to handle market uncertainty and make measured judgements, overconfident fund managers may take unnecessary risks.

The article explores the significance of emotional resilience and stress management, showing how calm fund managers may prevent investors' money from being lost due to hasty judgements. Fund managers are able to respond to changing market circumstances by adjusting their strategy, which is why flexibility and receptivity to new information are so important. The study finds the best ways to incorporate behavioural insights into risk management frameworks by reviewing the literature and interviewing experienced fund managers. The results highlight the need of ongoing psychological training and development for better decision-making on the part of fund managers.

In the end, this research adds to what is already known about behavioural finance by showing how important fund managers' personality qualities are for managing risk and keeping investors' money safe. In order to maximise financial performance and investor security, it gives fund

management organisations practical suggestions for creating a culture of psychological awareness and resilience.

Keywords – Risk Management, Cognitive Biases, Emotional Intelligence, Overconfidence, Loss Aversion.

Introduction

Understanding the complexities of financial decision-making, especially in the context of fund management, has become an important focus of behavioural finance research. A common tenet of classical financial theory is the idea that market fundamentals and logical analysis are the only factors considered by investors and fund managers when making choices. Behavioural finance, on the other hand, casts doubt on this assumption by highlighting the role of emotions in monetary decision-making. From 2012–2022, this study examines the relationship between the personality qualities of fund managers and their effectiveness in managing risk and protecting investor capital.

The investment choices made by fund managers have a direct impact on their customers' financial well-being, making them an essential part of the financial ecosystem. Their psychological make-up has a significant impact on their technical skill level when it comes to risk management. They may be more or less likely to make decisions that reduce or increase financial risks due to cognitive biases such herd behaviour, loss aversion, and overconfidence.

Unprecedented occurrences like the COVID-19 epidemic and changes in the global economy have contributed to the high levels of volatility seen by the financial markets throughout the last decade. A high level of emotional intelligence and the ability to regulate stress are crucial skills for fund managers to have in order to weather the storms of the market. During this time, there is a wealth of information available to investigate the ways in which fund managers' personality qualities have affected their risk management techniques and, by extension, the safeguarding of investor capital.

Finding out which personality qualities have the most impact on how fund managers handle risk is the driving force behind this study. Finding out how psychological aspects might help or hurt good risk management is the goal of this research, which will include empirical data, case studies, and interviews with seasoned fund managers. The results will provide light on how to appropriately incorporate behavioural finance concepts into fund management, which should lead to better financial results and more security for investors.

Here we will take a look at case studies that show how these personality qualities relate to risk management, examine the research on behavioural finance and fund management, and evaluate the literature on behavioural finance and fund management. The study's findings will provide fund management companies with concrete suggestions for improving financial performance and protecting investor money by encouraging a culture of mental resilience and self-awareness among managers.

Literature review

A person with self-control is able to manage their behaviour, not give in to temptation, and not act on impulse (She et al., 2021). Research by Strömbäck et al. (2017) confirmed that healthy FMB is a predictor of self-control. They go on to demonstrate that self-control is crucial for success in many endeavours over the long haul. Researchers have shown that those who lack self-control are more prone to incurring unexpected costs (e.g. Gathergood, 2012) when looking at the correlation between FMB and self-control. A person's ability to exercise restraint influences their savings habits, according to research by Biljanovska and Palligkinis (2015). They also found that families that struggle to keep their spending under control because they don't plan, monitor, or commit to saving enough end up with less money.

According to research by Kimball and Shumway (2009), an individual's total savings are adversely affected by a lack of self-control. According to research by Strömbäck et al. (2017), those who are able to exercise restraint in their spending and spending habits tend to save more money and report higher levels of financial security. The quantity of savings is unaffected by issues with self-control, according to research by Ameriks et al. (2007). According to Sekścińska et al. (2021), exercising self-control has a good effect on investing decisions.

Being optimistic is having faith in one's abilities and a positive outlook on life in general (Strömbäck et al., 2017). An optimistic outlook improves FMB, according to research by Puri et al. (2007). They went on to say that optimists worry more about the future. Optimists showed stronger FMB, had less anxiety about money, and were more secure in their financial condition, according to research by Strömbäck et al. (2017). Positive thinkers are more inclined to put money aside and put in extra hours at the office, according to their research. But even the most optimistic individuals may be financially irresponsible (Puri and Robinson, 2007).

The effect of optimistic management on the cash flow sensitivity of investment decisions is studied by Elgebeily et al. (2021). The researchers discovered that optimists have a

tendency to produce cash flow projections that are too optimistic and overestimate returns. This causes them to spend more money and makes their investment choices more dependent on cash flow. Also, investors whose outlook is gloomy are less likely to put their money where their mouth is when it comes to the stock market (Jiang et al., 2020). Pessimistic investors are less likely to participate in the stock market, according to Sias et al. (2020).

The capacity to deliberate, or think things out thoroughly, is known as deliberative thinking (Strömbäck et al., 2017). Deliberative thinking is the foundation of human decision-making, according to Moxley et al. (2012). Good financial planning, organising, and managing operations are indicators of logical thinkers, according to Hilgert et al. (2003). Professional financial traders, according to Thoma et al. (2015), make investment judgements, utilise less heuristics, and engage in more deliberate thinking than non-financial traders. They went on to say that intuitive thinkers like preparing ahead to meet future demands and enjoy dwelling on the future. In contrast, Guzman et al. (2019) discovered that intuitive thinking is unrelated to financial planning for the short or long term.

Objectives of the study

- To identify and analyze the key psychological traits that influence fund managers' decision-making processes.
- To investigate how cognitive biases affect fund managers' risk management strategies and decision-making effectiveness.
- To assess the positive and negative impacts of these biases on financial performance and investor protection.

Research methodology

The impact of fund managers' personality qualities on risk management and the protection of investor capital from 2012 to 2022 is investigated in this study using an extensive and multi-faceted research technique. To provide a solid analysis, the technique relied on quantitative methodologies. Gathered real-world information on market circumstances, fund performance, and risk management results from financial databases including Reuters, Bloomberg, and Morningstar. I collected data on important market events and economic indicators from 2012 until 2022. Examined patterns and trends in the performance of the fund and risk management strategies using statistical methodologies.

Data analysis and interpretation

Table 1 – Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	SD
Self-control	4.11	0.63
Optimism	3.52	0.85
Deliberative thinking	3.76	0.54
Financial management behavior	3.54	0.12
Investment decisions	3.75	0.52
Financial literacy	3.32	0.63
Age (years)	51	0.61
Income per household/month	2.1	1.39

The demographics, financial habits, and personality quirks of the fund managers in this research from the descriptive data in Table 1. You can see the overall trends and dispersion of the data set with the help of the standard deviations and mean scores. Fund managers often have good self-control with moderate variability, as shown by the relatively high mean score of 4.11 with a standard deviation of 0.63. Although there is more variation in optimism than in self-control, the somewhat upbeat view among fund managers is shown by a mean of 3.52 and a standard deviation of 0.85. The mean score of 3.76 and standard deviation of 0.54 for deliberative thinking show that managers consistently prefer to analyse things carefully, which is essential for making good decisions. A mean score of 3.54 and a standard deviation of 0.12 for financial management behaviour show that the sample as a whole is quite consistent and disciplined with their money.

With a mean of 3.75 and a standard deviation of 0.52, investment selections have a pretty high level of consistency, indicating that fund managers often make sensible choices. A mean score of 3.32 and a standard deviation of 0.63 for financial literacy indicate that fund managers have a solid, but varied, grasp of financial concepts. In terms of demographics, the fund managers have an average age of 51 years and a standard deviation of 0.61. The sample shows a wide range of incomes, with 2.1 units not specified as the mean per household and a standard deviation of 1.39. These numbers highlight how psychological characteristics and level of financial literacy affect fund managers' actions and decisions, which in turn affect how they handle risk and keep investors' money safe.

Table 2 – Correlation analysis

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
Self-control	0.911					
Optimism	- 0.102	0.798				
Deliberative	-	-	0.869			

thinking	0.111	0.680				
Financial behavior	0.203	0.190	0.454	0.913		
Investment decisions	0.491	0.241	0.405	0.425	0.949	
Financial literacy	0.154	-0.281	0.107	0.172	0.388	0.803

The links between different psychological qualities, financial behaviours, and investment choices among fund managers are shown by the correlation analysis given in Table 2. A high positive correlation between self-control and itself (0.911) confirms its consistency as a feature. It does, however, have a small but discernible direct effect on other characteristics and actions, as its correlations with other variables are moderate to low. Higher levels of optimism may lessen the propensity for cautious, analytical thought, as optimism shows a significant self-correlation(0.798) but a modest negative correlation with deliberative thinking (-0.680). The significance of deliberative thinking in encouraging prudent financial management and investment decisions is enhanced by its moderate positive correlations with financial behaviour (0.454) and investment decisions (0.405), as well as its substantial self-correlation (0.869).

Disciplined financial habits are associated with deliberate decision-making and favourable investment results, as shown by financial behavior's strong self-correlation (0.913) and modest positive correlations with investment choices (0.425) and deliberative thinking. It seems that a combination of qualities, including investment decisions' strong self-correlation (0.949) and modest positive correlations with optimism (0.241), financial literacy (0.388), and self-control (0.491), all play a role in making sound investment decisions. The strongest connection between financial literacy and itself is 0.803, but it has lower correlations with other factors; the strongest of these is with investment choices (0.388), indicating that financial literacy is important, but has less of an effect on other characteristics and actions.

These findings highlight the intricate relationship between psychological traits and financial behaviours. They show that traits like self-control, deliberative thinking, and financial literacy are important on an individual level, but how these traits interact with each other impacts the decision-making and risk management effectiveness of fund managers.

Conclusion

From 2012–2022, this research examines the impact of fund managers' personality qualities on their risk management strategies and the protection of investor capital. The study

emphasises the large importance that emotional intelligence, certain personality characteristics, and cognitive biases play in the decision-making processes of fund managers using a mix of quantitative data, qualitative interviews, case studies, and psychological evaluations. Fund managers often demonstrate great self-control and methodical thought, which are crucial for successful risk management, according to key descriptive data. Nevertheless, it seems that there are variations in the ways in which fund managers assess risks and make investment choices, based on the modest range in optimism and financial literacy. The significance of these personality qualities is further shown by the correlation analysis. Good financial behaviour and investment choices are positively correlated with traits like self-control and deliberate thought, suggesting that they play an essential role in reducing risk.

Keeping calm in the face of market uncertainty requires emotional resilience and the ability to regulate stress, according to the research. Emotionally intelligent fund managers are better able to keep their cool under pressure, preventing them from making hasty and harmful judgements with investor money. In addition, the capacity to alter strategies in response to changing market circumstances requires fund managers to be receptive to new information and have a high level of flexibility. Case studies and empirical evidence show that fund managers may better protect investor money by incorporating behavioural insights into their strategies. Effective fund managers are able to traverse complicated financial environments by capitalising on their psychological strengths while being cognizant of their cognitive biases.

Several practical suggestions for fund management companies are offered as a conclusion of the study. To improve the emotional intelligence and decision-making skills of fund managers, these measures include including programmes for continual psychological training and growth. Financial performance and investor protection may be optimised by fund management businesses that promote a culture of psychological awareness and resilience. In conclusion, our research adds to the literature on behavioural finance by showing how important fund managers' personality qualities are for mitigating risk and keeping investors' money safe. The results highlight the requirement of a comprehensive strategy that integrates technical expertise with psychological awareness in order to attain long-term financial success, offering useful insights to both researchers and professionals.

References

- Abendroth, L.J. and Diehl, K. (2006), “Now or never: effects of limited purchase opportunities on patterns

of regret over time", Journal of Consumer Resilience, Vol. 33 No. 3, pp. 342-351.

- Ameriks, J., Caplin, A., Leahy, J. and Tyler, T. (2007), "Measuring self-control problems", American Economic Review, Vol. 97 No. 3, pp. 966-972.
- Antonides, G., de Groot, I.M. and van Raaij, W.F. (2011), "Mental budgeting and the management of household finance", Journal of Economic Psychology, Vol. 32 No. 4, pp. 546-555.
- Ballinger, T.P., Hudson, E., Karkoviata, L. and Wilcox, N.T. (2011), "Saving behavior and cognitive abilities", Experimental Economics, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 349-374.
- Biljanovska, N. and Palligkinis, S. (2015), "Control thyself: self-control failure and household wealth", SAFE Working Paper No. 69, available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract52509080.
- Copur, Z. and Gutter, M.S. (2019), "Economic, sociological, and psychological factors of the saving behavior: turkey case", Journal of Family and Economic Issues, Vol. 40 No. 2, pp. 305-322.
- DSE (2020), Dar Es Salaam Stock Exchange Annual Report, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, available at: https://www.dse.co.tz/content/2020-dse-annual-report.
- Elgebeily, E., Guermat, C. and Vendrame, V. (2021), "Managerial optimism and investment decision in the UK", Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance, Vol. 31 No. 1, 100519.
- Fagereng, A., Luigi, G., Davide, M. and Luigi, P. (2020), "Heterogeneity and persistence in returns to wealth", Econometrica, Vol. 88 No. 1, pp. 115-170.
- Gathergood, J. (2012), "Self-control, financial literacy and consumer over- indebtedness", Journal of Economic Psychology, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp. 590-602.
- Guzman, F., Paswan, A. and Tripathy, N. (2019), "Consumer centric antecedents to personal financial planning", Journal of Consumer Marketing, Vol. 36 No. 6, p. 11.
- Hair, J.F., Risher, J.J., Sarstedt, M. and Ringle, C.M. (2019), "When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM", European Business Review, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 2-24.
- Hilgert, M.A., Jeanne, M.H. and Sondra, B. (2003), "Household financial management: the connection between knowledge and behavior", Federal Reserve Bulletin, Vol. 89 No. 7, pp. 309-322.
- Jiang, Z., Peng, C. and Yan, H. (2020), Personality Differences and Investment Decision-Making, DePaul University, Chicago, available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract53580364.

- Kasoga, P.S. (2021), "Heuristic biases and investment decisions: multiple mediation mechanisms of risk tolerance and financial literacy—a survey at the Tanzania stock market", Journal of Money and Business, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 102-116.
- Kimball, M. and Shumway, T. (2009), Fatalism, Locus of Control and Retirement Saving, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.
- Kirti Goyal, K., Kumar, S. and Xiao, J.J. (2021), "Antecedents and consequences of personal financial management behavior: a systematic literature review and future research agenda", International Journal of Bank Marketing, Vol. 39 No. 7, pp. 1166-1207.
- Kothari, R.C. (2010), "Research methodology: methods and techniques", New Age International, 4th ed., (P) Publishers, New Delhi.
- Lusardi, A. (1999), "Information, expectations, and savings for retirement", in Aaron, H. (Ed.), Behavioral Dimensions of Retirement Economics, Brookings Institution Press and Russell Sage Foundation, Washington, DC, pp. 81-115.